

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 100  
 28. One proposal for improving the state legislative redistricting process would have the Illinois Supreme Court add a neutral person to the redistricting panel in case of a partisan tie.

	TOTAL	REGION			EDUCATION			IDEOLOGY			PARTY AFFILIATION			BORN-AGAIN			GENDER	
		CHICAGO CITY	CHICAGO SUBURBS	DOWN-STATE	H.S. OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE +	LIBERAL	MODERAT	CONSER-VATIVE	DEM	IND	REP	YES	NO	NON-CHRISTN	MALE	FEMALE
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	200	500	300	179	308	504	335	279	329	478	116	317	177	558	248	478	522
NET: Favor	707 71%	144 72%	358 72%	205 68%	124 69%	211 69%	369 73%	246 73%	204 73%	229 70%	343 72%	83 72%	230 73%	125 71%	399 72%	174 70%	350 73%	357 68%
Strongly Favor	345 35%	82 41%D	173 35%	90 30%	59 33%	96 31%	188 37%	121 36%	105 38%	107 33%	172 36%	43 37%	103 32%	68 38%	193 35%	81 33%	190 40%R	155 30%
Somewhat Favor	362 36%	62 31%	185 37%	115 38%	65 36%	115 37%	181 36%	125 37%	99 35%	122 37%	171 36%	40 34%	127 40%	57 32%	206 37%	93 38%	160 33%	202 39%
NET: Oppose	190 19%	39 20%	87 17%	64 21%	32 18%	59 19%	96 19%	61 18%	44 16%	76 23%I	78 16%	29 25%K	64 20%	35 20%	103 18%	49 20%	93 19%	97 19%
Somewhat Oppose	97 10%	21 11%	44 9%	32 11%	13 7%	32 10%	49 10%	40 12%	23 8%	32 10%	48 10%	14 12%	30 9%	14 8%	53 9%	29 12%	45 9%	52 10%
Strongly Oppose	93 9%	18 9%	43 9%	32 11%	19 11%	27 9%	47 9%	21 6%	21 8%	44 13%HI	30 6%	15 13%K	34 11%K	21 12%	50 9%	20 8%	48 10%	45 9%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	103 10%	17 9%	55 11%	31 10%	23 13%G	38 12%G	39 8%	28 8%	31 11%	24 7%	57 12%LM	4 3%	23 7%	17 10%	56 10%	25 10%	35 7%	68 13%Q
Mean	1.93	1.86	1.90	2.02	1.95	1.96	1.90	1.87	1.84	2.04HI	1.85	2.01	1.98	1.92	1.92	1.95	1.89	1.97

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P - Q/R

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 101  
 28. One proposal for improving the state legislative redistricting process would have the Illinois Supreme Court add a neutral person to the redistricting panel in case of a partisan tie.

	AGE				RACE				INCOME			EMPLOYMENT				COMPANY TYPE		
	TOTAL	<35	35-50	51-65	66+	WHITE	BLACK	HIS- PANIC	OTHER	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+	FULL- TIME	PART- TIME	RETIRED	NOT EMPLD	PRIVATE	GOV/NON PROFIT
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	110	183	308	333	724	128	40*	50*	302	410	264	437	102	335	108	275	145
NET: Favor	707 71%	76 69%	141 77%E	226 73%	228 68%	523 72%	82 64%	31 78%	32 64%	213 71%	300 73%	193 73%	319 73%	73 72%	225 67%	77 71%	195 71%	107 74%
Strongly Favor	345 35%	29 26%	61 33%	111 36%	127 38%B	250 35%	49 38%	13 33%	13 26%	92 30%	153 37%	98 37%	151 35%	27 26%	126 38%N	34 31%	93 34%	43 30%
Somewhat Favor	362 36%	47 43%E	80 44%E	115 37%	101 30%	273 38%G	33 26%	18 45%G	19 38%	121 40%	147 36%	95 36%	168 38%O	46 45%O	99 30%	43 40%O	102 37%	64 44%
NET: Oppose	190 19%	21 19%	33 18%	55 18%	68 20%	135 19%	27 21%	7 18%	8 16%	57 19%	80 20%	53 20%	84 19%	18 18%	70 21%	18 17%	54 20%	28 19%
Somewhat Oppose	97 10%	14 13%	22 12%	27 9%	25 8%	68 9%	14 11%	4 10%	6 12%	27 9%	51 12%	27 10%	48 11%	13 13%	26 8%	10 9%	34 12%	17 12%
Strongly Oppose	93 9%	7 6%	11 6%	28 9%	43 13%C	67 9%	13 10%	3 8%	2 4%	30 10%	29 7%	26 10%	36 8%	5 5%	44 13%M	8 7%	20 7%	11 8%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	103 10%	13 12%C	9 5%	27 9%	37 11%C	66 9%	19 15%F	2 5%	10 20%FH	32 11%	30 7%	18 7%	34 8%	11 11%	40 12%	13 12%	26 9%	10 7%
Mean	1.93	1.99	1.90	1.90	1.95	1.93	1.92	1.92	1.92	1.98	1.88	1.92	1.92	1.96	1.96	1.92	1.92	1.97

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N/O/P - Q/R  
 \* small base

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 102  
 28. One proposal for improving the state legislative redistricting process would have the Illinois Supreme Court add a neutral person to the redistricting panel in case of a partisan tie.

	TOTAL	MILITARY HOUSEHOLD		BELONGS TO LABOR UNION	
		YES (B)	NO (C)	YES (D)	NO (E)
Base	1000	621	379	213	772
NET: Favor	707 71%	434 70%	273 72%	153 72%	545 71%
Strongly Favor	345 35%	224 36%	121 32%	71 33%	271 35%
Somewhat Favor	362 36%	210 34%	152 40%B	82 38%	274 35%
NET: Oppose	190 19%	126 20%	64 17%	40 19%	148 19%
Somewhat Oppose	97 10%	59 10%	38 10%	27 13%	69 9%
Strongly Oppose	93 9%	67 11%C	26 7%	13 6%	79 10%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	103 10%	61 10%	42 11%	20 9%	79 10%
Mean	1.93	1.94	1.91	1.91	1.94

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 103

29. Other people have proposed a constitutional amendment that would have legislative district maps created and recommended by a commission that is independent of the elected representatives.

	TOTAL	REGION			EDUCATION			IDEOLOGY			PARTY AFFILIATION			BORN-AGAIN			GENDER	
		CHICAGO CITY	CHICAGO SUBURBS	DOWN-STATE	H.S. OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE +	LIBERAL	MODERAT	CONSER-VATIVE	DEM	IND	REP	YES	NO	NON-CHRISTN	MALE	FEMALE
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	200	500	300	179	308	504	335	279	329	478	116	317	177	558	248	478	522
NET: Favor	637 64%	129 65%	329 66%	179 60%	96 54%	183 59%	355 70%EF	227 68%J	195 70%J	195 59%	299 63%	78 67%	212 67%	108 61%	361 65%	162 65%	341 71%R	296 57%
Strongly Favor	353 35%	85 43%D	179 36%	89 30%	39 22%	95 31%E	218 43%EF	122 36%	112 40%	107 33%	170 36%	46 40%	117 37%	55 31%	203 36%	93 38%	217 45%R	136 26%
Somewhat Favor	284 28%	44 22%	150 30%B	90 30%B	57 32%	88 29%	137 27%	105 31%	83 30%	88 27%	129 27%	32 28%	95 30%	53 30%	158 28%	69 28%	124 26%	160 31%
NET: Oppose	251 25%	48 24%	121 24%	82 27%	56 31%G	83 27%	111 22%	75 22%	54 19%	106 32%HI	120 25%	31 27%	78 25%	44 25%	143 26%	61 25%	112 23%	139 27%
Somewhat Oppose	126 13%	25 13%	62 12%	39 13%	28 16%	35 11%	63 13%	40 12%	28 10%	52 16%I	75 16%M	12 10%	34 11%	24 14%	72 13%	29 12%	48 10%	78 15%Q
Strongly Oppose	125 13%	23 12%	59 12%	43 14%	28 16%G	48 16%G	48 10%	35 10%	26 9%	54 16%HI	45 9%	19 16%K	44 14%	20 11%	71 13%	32 13%	64 13%	61 12%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	112 11%	23 12%	50 10%	39 13%	27 15%G	42 14%G	38 8%	33 10%	30 11%	28 9%	59 12%	7 6%	27 9%	25 14%	54 10%	25 10%	25 5%	87 17%Q
Mean	2.03	1.92	2.00	2.14B	2.30G	2.14G	1.87	1.96	1.87	2.18HI	1.99	2.04	2.02	2.06	2.02	2.00	1.91	2.15Q

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P - Q/R

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 104

29. Other people have proposed a constitutional amendment that would have legislative district maps created and recommended by a commission that is independent of the elected representatives.

	AGE				RACE				INCOME			EMPLOYMENT			COMPANY TYPE			
	TOTAL	<35	35-50	51-65	66+	WHITE	BLACK	HIS-PANIC	OTHER	<\$50K	\$50K-\$100K	\$100K+	FULL-TIME	PART-TIME	RETIRED	NOT EMPLOYD	PRIVATE	GOV/NON PROFIT
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Base	1000	110	183	308	333	724	128	40*	50*	302	410	264	437	102	335	108	275	145
NET: Favor	637 64%	69 63%	122 67%	205 67%	206 62%	468 65%	72 56%	29 73%	32 64%	180 60%	275 67%J	183 69%J	301 69%O	64 63%	194 58%	65 60%	184 67%	97 67%
Strongly Favor	353 35%	29 26%	59 32%	109 35%	137 41%BC	265 37%	39 30%	15 38%	15 30%	85 28%	155 38%J	108 41%J	172 39%P	36 35%	115 34%P	25 23%	96 35%	53 37%
Somewhat Favor	284 28%	40 36%E	63 34%E	96 31%E	69 21%	203 28%	33 26%	14 35%	17 34%	95 31%	120 29%	75 28%	129 30%	28 27%	79 24%	40 37%O	88 32%	44 30%
NET: Oppose	251 25%	31 28%	45 25%	77 25%	80 24%	176 24%	40 31%	10 25%	10 20%	83 27%	102 25%	62 23%	100 23%	25 25%	95 28%	31 29%	63 23%	34 23%
Somewhat Oppose	126 13%	20 18%E	24 13%	37 12%	34 10%	84 12%	25 20%F	4 10%	8 16%	47 16%	51 12%	31 12%	55 13%	15 15%	41 12%	15 14%	33 12%	22 15%
Strongly Oppose	125 13%	11 10%	21 11%	40 13%	46 14%	92 13%	15 12%	6 15%	2 4%	36 12%	51 12%	31 12%	45 10%	10 10%	54 16%M	16 15%	30 11%	12 8%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	112 11%	10 9%	16 9%	26 8%	47 14%D	80 11%	16 13%	1 3%	8 16%H	39 13%KL	33 8%	19 7%	36 8%	13 13%	46 14%M	12 11%	28 10%	14 10%
Mean	2.03	2.13	2.04	2.03	1.96	2.00	2.14	2.03	1.93	2.13L	1.99	1.94	1.93	1.99	2.12M	2.23M	1.99	1.95

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N/O/P - Q/R

\* small base

Table 105

29. Other people have proposed a constitutional amendment that would have legislative district maps created and recommended by a commission that is independent of the elected representatives.

	TOTAL	MILITARY HOUSEHOLD		BELONGS TO LABOR UNION	
		YES	NO	YES	NO
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Base	1000	621	379	213	772
NET: Favor	637 64%	399 64%	238 63%	137 64%	492 64%
Strongly Favor	353 35%	220 35%	133 35%	70 33%	279 36%
Somewhat Favor	284 28%	179 29%	105 28%	67 31%	213 28%
NET: Oppose	251 25%	154 25%	97 26%	55 26%	193 25%
Somewhat Oppose	126 13%	77 12%	49 13%	31 15%	94 12%
Strongly Oppose	125 13%	77 12%	48 13%	24 11%	99 13%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	112 11%	68 11%	44 12%	21 10%	87 11%
Mean	2.03	2.02	2.04	2.05	2.02

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 106

30. A proposal to place limits on the amount that people could contribute to judicial campaigns, such as those for the Illinois Supreme Court. Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	TOTAL	REGION			EDUCATION			IDEOLOGY			PARTY AFFILIATION			BORN-AGAIN			GENDER	
		CHICAGO CITY	CHICAGO SUBURBS	DOWN-STATE	H.S. OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE +	LIBERAL	MODERAT	CONSER-VATIVE	DEM	IND	REP	YES	NO	NON-CHRISTN	MALE	FEMALE
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	200	500	300	179	308	504	335	279	329	478	116	317	177	558	248	478	522
NET: Favor	720 72%	141 71%	360 72%	219 73%	109 61%	220 71%E	388 77%E	268 80%J	206 74%J	217 66%	350 73%	91 78%	223 70%	130 73%	406 73%	177 71%	343 72%	377 72%
Strongly Favor	495 50%	99 50%	240 48%	156 52%	66 37%	151 49%E	275 55%E	189 56%J	154 55%J	132 40%	238 50%	68 59%M	150 47%	83 47%	277 50%	129 52%	239 50%	256 49%
Somewhat Favor	225 23%	42 21%	120 24%	63 21%	43 24%	69 22%	113 22%	79 24%	52 19%	85 26%I	112 23%	23 20%	73 23%	47 27%	129 23%	48 19%	104 22%	121 23%
NET: Oppose	232 23%	50 25%	119 24%	63 21%	56 31%FG	69 22%	104 21%	59 18%	63 23%	97 29%H	104 22%	23 20%	81 26%	40 23%	130 23%	55 22%	115 24%	117 22%
Somewhat Oppose	103 10%	15 8%	58 12%	30 10%	26 15%G	32 10%	45 9%	19 6%	36 13%H	43 13%H	46 10%	10 9%	36 11%	19 11%	56 10%	24 10%	51 11%	52 10%
Strongly Oppose	129 13%	35 18%D	61 12%	33 11%	30 17%	37 12%	59 12%	40 12%	27 10%	54 16%I	58 12%	13 11%	45 14%	21 12%	74 13%	31 13%	64 13%	65 12%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	48 5%	9 5%	21 4%	18 6%	14 8%G	19 6%G	12 2%	8 2%	10 4%	15 5%	24 5%	2 2%	13 4%	7 4%	22 4%	16 6%	20 4%	28 5%
Mean	1.86	1.93	1.87	1.79	2.12FG	1.84	1.77	1.72	1.76	2.06HI	1.83	1.72	1.92	1.87	1.86	1.81	1.87	1.85

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P - Q/R

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 107

30. A proposal to place limits on the amount that people could contribute to judicial campaigns, such as those for the Illinois Supreme Court. Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	AGE				RACE				INCOME			EMPLOYMENT			COMPANY TYPE			
	TOTAL	<35	35-50	51-65	66+	WHITE	BLACK	HIS- PANIC	OTHER	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+	FULL- TIME	PART- TIME	RETIRED	NOT EMPL	PRIVATE	GOV/NON PROFIT
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	110	183	308	333	724	128	40*	50*	302	410	264	437	102	335	108	275	145
NET: Favor	720 72%	80 73%	148 81%DE	220 71%	235 71%	537 74%G	81 63%	26 65%	35 70%	211 70%	302 74%	203 77%	337 77%OP	76 75%	226 67%	71 66%	216 79%	105 72%
Strongly Favor	495 50%	45 41%	97 53%B	158 51%	171 51%	369 51%	55 43%	18 45%	22 44%	145 48%	208 51%	143 54%	229 52%P	58 57%P	162 48%P	39 36%	152 55%	71 49%
Somewhat Favor	225 23%	35 32%DE	51 28%DE	62 20%	64 19%	168 23%	26 20%	8 20%	13 26%	66 22%	94 23%	60 23%	108 25%	18 18%	64 19%	32 30%NO	64 23%	34 23%
NET: Oppose	232 23%	25 23%	29 16%	74 24%C	81 24%C	158 22%	37 29%	13 33%	14 28%	74 25%	93 23%	56 21%	83 19%	24 24%	93 28%M	27 25%	52 19%	35 24%
Somewhat Oppose	103 10%	12 11%	16 9%	33 11%	31 9%	71 10%	16 13%	6 15%	7 14%	30 10%	40 10%	25 9%	37 8%	18 18%MO	32 10%	13 12%	27 10%	19 13%
Strongly Oppose	129 13%	13 12%	13 7%	41 13%C	50 15%C	87 12%	21 16%	7 18%	7 14%	44 15%	53 13%	31 12%	46 11%	6 6%	61 18%MN	14 13%	25 9%	16 11%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	48 5%	5 5%	6 3%	14 5%	17 5%	29 4%	10 8%	1 3%	1 2%	17 6%L	15 4%	5 2%	17 4%	2 2%	16 5%	10 9%MN	7 3%	5 3%
Mean	1.86	1.93C	1.69	1.85	1.87	1.82	2.03	2.05	1.98	1.91	1.84	1.78	1.76	1.72	1.97MN	2.02MN	1.72	1.86

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N/O/P - Q/R

\* small base



SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 108

30. A proposal to place limits on the amount that people could contribute to judicial campaigns, such as those for the Illinois Supreme Court. Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	TOTAL	MILITARY HOUSEHOLD		BELONGS TO LABOR UNION	
		YES	NO	YES	NO
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Base	1000	621	379	213	772
NET: Favor	720 72%	451 73%	269 71%	156 73%	558 72%
Strongly Favor	495 50%	320 52%	175 46%	116 54%	377 49%
Somewhat Favor	225 23%	131 21%	94 25%	40 19%	181 23%
NET: Oppose	232 23%	141 23%	91 24%	50 23%	178 23%
Somewhat Oppose	103 10%	63 10%	40 11%	21 10%	81 10%
Strongly Oppose	129 13%	78 13%	51 13%	29 14%	97 13%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	48 5%	29 5%	19 5%	7 3%	36 5%
Mean	1.86	1.83	1.91	1.82	1.86

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 109

31. A proposal to eliminate contributions to judicial races by providing public funding for all candidates who qualify for it. Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	TOTAL	REGION			EDUCATION			IDEOLOGY			PARTY AFFILIATION			BORN-AGAIN			GENDER	
		CHICAGO CITY	CHICAGO SUBURBS	DOWN-STATE	H.S. OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE +	LIBERAL	MODERAT	CONSER-VATIVE	DEM	IND	REP	YES	NO	NON-CHRISTN	MALE	FEMALE
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	200	500	300	179	308	504	335	279	329	478	116	317	177	558	248	478	522
NET: Favor	515 52%	111 56%	255 51%	149 50%	90 50%	155 50%	266 53%	209 62%IJ	151 54%J	130 40%	280 59%M	60 52%	133 42%	91 51%	276 49%	143 58%O	252 53%	263 50%
Strongly Favor	263 26%	59 30%	132 26%	72 24%	44 25%	82 27%	135 27%	116 35%IJ	70 25%	65 20%	141 29%M	40 34%M	60 19%	36 20%	147 26%	78 31%N	129 27%	134 26%
Somewhat Favor	252 25%	52 26%	123 25%	77 26%	46 26%	73 24%	131 26%	93 28%J	81 29%J	65 20%	139 29%L	20 17%	73 23%	55 31%O	129 23%	65 26%	123 26%	129 25%
NET: Oppose	425 43%	77 39%	213 43%	135 45%	73 41%	138 45%	212 42%	108 32%	111 40%	188 57%HI	166 35%	51 44%	173 55%K	77 44%	251 45%P	87 35%	208 44%	217 42%
Somewhat Oppose	187 19%	30 15%	97 19%	60 20%	29 16%	63 20%	95 19%	52 16%	48 17%	82 25%HI	81 17%	28 24%	66 21%	33 19%	106 19%	45 18%	90 19%	97 19%
Strongly Oppose	238 24%	47 24%	116 23%	75 25%	44 25%	75 24%	117 23%	56 17%	63 23%	106 32%HI	85 18%	23 20%	107 34%KL	44 25%P	145 26%P	42 17%	118 25%	120 23%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	60 6%	12 6%	32 6%	16 5%	16 9%	15 5%	26 5%	18 5%	17 6%	11 3%	32 7%M	5 4%	11 3%	9 5%	31 6%	18 7%	18 4%	42 8%Q
Mean	2.43	2.35	2.42	2.49	2.45	2.45	2.41	2.15	2.40H	2.72HI	2.25	2.31	2.72KL	2.51P	2.47P	2.22	2.43	2.42

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P - Q/R

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 110  
 31. A proposal to eliminate contributions to judicial races by providing public funding for all candidates who qualify for it. Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	AGE				RACE				INCOME			EMPLOYMENT				COMPANY TYPE		
	TOTAL	<35	35-50	51-65	66+	WHITE	BLACK	HIS- PANIC	OTHER	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K+	FULL- TIME	PART- TIME	RETIRED	NOT EMPLD	PRIVATE	GOV/NON PROFIT
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	110	183	308	333	724	128	40*	50*	302	410	264	437	102	335	108	275	145
NET: Favor	515 52%	60 55%	88 48%	159 52%	181 54%	361 50%	77 60%F	24 60%	26 52%	167 55%	211 51%	134 51%	216 49%	48 47%	186 56%	60 56%	126 46%	73 50%
Strongly Favor	263 26%	33 30%	38 21%	80 26%	96 29% <sup>C</sup>	184 25%	43 34%	11 28%	12 24%	84 28%	110 27%	67 25%	105 24%	26 25%	97 29%	31 29%	65 24%	38 26%
Somewhat Favor	252 25%	27 25%	50 27%	79 26%	85 26%	177 24%	34 27%	13 33%	14 28%	83 27%	101 25%	67 25%	111 25%	22 22%	89 27%	29 27%	61 22%	35 24%
NET: Oppose	425 43%	42 38%	83 45%	138 45%	132 40%	324 45% <sup>G</sup>	38 30%	14 35%	22 44%	120 40%	179 44%	118 45%	201 46%	44 43%	132 39%	40 37%	136 49%	64 44%
Somewhat Oppose	187 19%	27 25% <sup>E</sup>	38 21%	64 21% <sup>E</sup>	49 15%	144 20%	18 14%	6 15%	11 22%	53 18%	78 19%	53 20%	94 22% <sup>O</sup>	24 24% <sup>O</sup>	46 14%	21 19%	65 24%	34 23%
Strongly Oppose	238 24%	15 14%	45 25% <sup>B</sup>	74 24% <sup>B</sup>	83 25% <sup>B</sup>	180 25% <sup>G</sup>	20 16%	8 20%	11 22%	67 22%	101 25%	65 25%	107 24%	20 20%	86 26%	19 18%	71 26%	30 21%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	60 6%	8 7%	12 7%	11 4%	20 6%	39 5%	13 10% <sup>F</sup>	2 5%	2 4%	15 5%	20 5%	12 5%	20 5%	10 10% <sup>M</sup>	17 5%	8 7%	13 5%	8 6%
Mean	2.43	2.24	2.53 <sup>B</sup>	2.44	2.38	2.47 <sup>G</sup>	2.13	2.29	2.44	2.36	2.44	2.46	2.49	2.41	2.38	2.28	2.54	2.41

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N/O/P - Q/R  
 \* small base

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 111  
 31. A proposal to eliminate contributions to judicial races by providing public funding for all candidates who qualify for it. Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	TOTAL	MILITARY HOUSEHOLD		BELONGS TO LABOR UNION	
		YES	NO	YES	NO
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Base	1000	621	379	213	772
NET: Favor	515 52%	325 52%	190 50%	114 54%	398 52%
Strongly Favor	263 26%	166 27%	97 26%	62 29%	201 26%
Somewhat Favor	252 25%	159 26%	93 25%	52 24%	197 26%
NET: Oppose	425 43%	265 43%	160 42%	87 41%	331 43%
Somewhat Oppose	187 19%	111 18%	76 20%	40 19%	145 19%
Strongly Oppose	238 24%	154 25%	84 22%	47 22%	186 24%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	60 6%	31 5%	29 8%	12 6%	43 6%
Mean	2.43	2.43	2.42	2.36	2.43

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 112

32. A proposal to limit state legislators to a total of eight years of service, whether in the House of Representatives, the State Senate, or a combination of the two.

Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	TOTAL	REGION			EDUCATION			IDEOLOGY			PARTY AFFILIATION			BORN-AGAIN			GENDER	
		CHICAGO CITY	CHICAGO SUBURBS	DOWN-STATE	H.S. OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE +	LIBERAL	MODERAT	CONSER-VATIVE	DEM	IND	REP	YES	NO	NON-CHRISTN	MALE	FEMALE
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)
Base	1000	200	500	300	179	308	504	335	279	329	478	116	317	177	558	248	478	522
NET: Favor	775 78%	139 70%	387 77%B	249 83%B	139 78%	243 79%	387 77%	228 68%	228 82%H	282 86%H	337 71%	91 78%	280 88%KL	144 81%P	441 79%P	176 71%	375 78%	400 77%
Strongly Favor	595 60%	112 56%	292 58%	191 64%	104 58%	190 62%	297 59%	167 50%	172 62%H	232 71%HI	237 50%	73 63%K	234 74%KL	107 60%P	349 63%P	126 51%	299 63%	296 57%
Somewhat Favor	180 18%	27 14%	95 19%	58 19%	35 20%	53 17%	90 18%	61 18%	56 20%	50 15%	100 21%M	18 16%	46 15%	37 21%	92 16%	50 20%	76 16%	104 20%
NET: Oppose	195 20%	50 25%D	99 20%	46 15%	33 18%	57 19%	104 21%	92 27%IJ	46 16%	44 13%	122 26%M	22 19%M	35 11%	28 16%	104 19%	62 25%NO	93 19%	102 20%
Somewhat Oppose	100 10%	30 15%CD	44 9%	26 9%	17 9%	22 7%	61 12%F	52 16%IJ	21 8%	20 6%	64 13%M	15 13%M	13 4%	16 9%	53 9%	31 13%	45 9%	55 11%
Strongly Oppose	95 10%	20 10%	55 11%D	20 7%	16 9%	35 11%	43 9%	40 12%J	25 9%	24 7%	58 12%M	7 6%	22 7%	12 7%	51 9%	31 13%	48 10%	47 9%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	30 3%	11 6%D	14 3%	5 2%	7 4%	8 3%	13 3%	15 4%J	5 2%	3 1%	19 4%M	3 3%	2 1%	5 3%	13 2%	10 4%	10 2%	20 4%
Mean	1.69	1.78D	1.72	1.58	1.68	1.67	1.69	1.89IJ	1.63	1.50	1.88LM	1.61	1.44	1.61	1.64	1.86NO	1.66	1.71

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P - Q/R

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 113

32. A proposal to limit state legislators to a total of eight years of service, whether in the House of Representatives, the State Senate, or a combination of the two.

Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	AGE				RACE				INCOME			EMPLOYMENT			COMPANY TYPE			
	TOTAL	<35	35-50	51-65	66+	WHITE	BLACK	HIS-PANIC	OTHER	<\$50K	\$50K-\$100K	\$100K+	FULL-TIME	PART-TIME	RETIRED	NOT EMPLOYED	PRIVATE	GOV/NON PROFIT
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Base	1000	110	183	308	333	724	128	40*	50*	302	410	264	437	102	335	108	275	145
NET: Favor	775 78%	78 71%	143 78%	241 78%	268 80%B	579 80%G	85 66%	33 83%	36 72%	232 77%	317 77%	213 81%	340 78%P	84 82%P	267 80%P	72 67%	220 80%	108 74%
Strongly Favor	595 60%	41 37%	104 57%B	205 67%BC	210 63%B	459 63%GI	55 43%	26 65%G	23 46%	169 56%	244 60%	171 65%J	269 62%P	60 59%P	215 64%P	44 41%	170 62%	80 55%
Somewhat Favor	180 18%	37 34%CDE	39 21%D	36 12%	58 17%D	120 17%	30 23%	7 18%	13 26%	63 21%	73 18%	42 16%	71 16%	24 24%	52 16%	28 26%MO	50 18%	28 19%
NET: Oppose	195 20%	29 26%E	34 19%	61 20%	55 17%	126 17%	37 29%F	6 15%	12 24%	59 20%	85 21%	46 17%	86 20%	17 17%	58 17%	31 29%MNO	49 18%	33 23%
Somewhat Oppose	100 10%	17 15%E	23 13%E	34 11%E	22 7%	66 9%	19 15%F	2 5%	8 16%	31 10%	45 11%	27 10%	51 12%O	11 11%	23 7%	15 14%O	28 10%	20 14%
Strongly Oppose	95 10%	12 11%	11 6%	27 9%	33 10%	60 8%	18 14%F	4 10%	4 8%	28 9%	40 10%	19 7%	35 8%	6 6%	35 10%	16 15%MN	21 8%	13 9%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	30 3%	3 3%	6 3%	6 2%	10 3%	19 3%	6 5%	1 3%	2 4%	11 4%	8 2%	5 2%	11 3%	1 1%	10 3%	5 5%	6 2%	4 3%
Mean	1.69	2.00CDE	1.67	1.61	1.62	1.61	2.00FH	1.59	1.85	1.72	1.70	1.59	1.65	1.63	1.62	2.03MNO	1.63	1.76

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N/O/P - Q/R

\* small base

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 114

32. A proposal to limit state legislators to a total of eight years of service, whether in the House of Representatives, the State Senate, or a combination of the two.

Would you favor or oppose this proposal?

	TOTAL	MILITARY HOUSEHOLD		BELONGS TO LABOR UNION	
		YES	NO	YES	NO
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Base	1000	621	379	213	772
NET: Favor	775 78%	490 79%	285 75%	165 77%	601 78%
Strongly Favor	595 60%	377 61%	218 58%	126 59%	464 60%
Somewhat Favor	180 18%	113 18%	67 18%	39 18%	137 18%
NET: Oppose	195 20%	115 19%	80 21%	40 19%	153 20%
Somewhat Oppose	100 10%	54 9%	46 12%	20 9%	79 10%
Strongly Oppose	95 10%	61 10%	34 9%	20 9%	74 10%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	30 3%	16 3%	14 4%	8 4%	18 2%
Mean	1.69	1.67	1.72	1.68	1.69

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 115  
 33. Some states have passed right-to-work or open shop laws that say workers have the right to hold their jobs in a unionized workplace, whether they join the labor union or not.

	REGION			EDUCATION			IDEOLOGY			PARTY AFFILIATION			BORN-AGAIN			GENDER		
	TOTAL	CHICAGO CITY	CHICAGO SUBURBS	DOWN-STATE	H.S. OR LESS	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE +	LIBERAL	MODERAT	CONSER-VATIVE	DEM	IND	REP	YES	NO	NON-CHRISTN	MALE	FEMALE
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Base	1000	200	500	300	179	308	504	335	279	329	478	116	317	177	558	248	478	522
NET: For	605 61%	122 61%	304 61%	179 60%	106 59%	187 61%	310 62%	181 54%	169 61%	232 71%HI	266 56%	74 64%	219 69%K	112 63%	343 61%	141 57%	299 63%	306 59%
Vote for	569 57%	115 58%	288 58%	166 55%	100 56%	175 57%	292 58%	168 50%	160 57%	218 66%HI	250 52%	67 58%	207 65%K	108 61%	319 57%	133 54%	288 60%R	281 54%
Lean for	36 4%	7 4%	16 3%	13 4%	6 3%	12 4%	18 4%	13 4%	9 3%	14 4%	16 3%	7 6%	12 4%	4 2%	24 4%	8 3%	11 2%	25 5%Q
NET: Against	329 33%	65 33%	160 32%	104 35%	59 33%	106 34%	162 32%	134 40%IJ	90 32%J	81 25%	186 39%M	37 32%	76 24%	53 30%	183 33%	90 36%	156 33%	173 33%
Lean against	27 3%	6 3%	12 2%	9 3%	5 3%	7 2%	15 3%	13 4%	7 3%	7 2%	13 3%	6 5%M	4 1%	4 2%	20 4%	3 1%	16 3%	11 2%
Vote against	302 30%	59 30%	148 30%	95 32%	54 30%	99 32%	147 29%	121 36%J	83 30%J	74 22%	173 36%M	31 27%	72 23%	49 28%	163 29%	87 35%	140 29%	162 31%
(UNDECIDED)	37 4%	7 4%	20 4%	10 3%	11 6%	8 3%	15 3%	10 3%	16 6%J	7 2%	17 4%	3 3%	13 4%	8 5%	16 3%	11 4%	14 3%	23 4%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	29 3%	6 3%	16 3%	7 2%	3 2%	7 2%	17 3%	10 3%	4 1%	9 3%	9 2%	2 2%	9 3%	4 2%	16 3%	6 2%	9 2%	20 4%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D - E/F/G - H/I/J - K/L/M - N/O/P - Q/R



SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 116  
 33. Some states have passed right-to-work or open shop laws that say workers have the right to hold their jobs in a unionized workplace, whether they join the labor union or not.

	AGE				RACE				INCOME			EMPLOYMENT			COMPANY TYPE			
	TOTAL	<35	35-50	51-65	66+	WHITE	BLACK	HIS-PANIC	OTHER	<\$50K	\$50K-\$100K	\$100K+	FULL-TIME	PART-TIME	RETIRED	NOT EMPLOYED	PRIVATE	GOV/NON PROFIT
	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Base	1000	110	183	308	333	724	128	40*	50*	302	410	264	437	102	335	108	275	145
NET: For	605 61%	68 62%	119 65%	187 61%	199 60%	427 59%	90 70%F	29 73%	30 60%	191 63%	251 61%	157 59%	266 61%	64 63%	194 58%	71 66%	175 64%	86 59%
Vote for	569 57%	63 57%	110 60%	176 57%	192 58%	402 56%	85 66%F	27 68%	27 54%	181 60%	236 58%	146 55%	252 58%	56 55%	185 55%	67 62%	166 60%	78 54%
Lean for	36 4%	5 5%	9 5%	11 4%	7 2%	25 3%	5 4%	2 5%	3 6%	10 3%	15 4%	11 4%	14 3%	8 8%MO	9 3%	4 4%	9 3%	8 6%
NET: Against	329 33%	35 32%	55 30%	101 33%	115 35%	252 35%G	29 23%	10 25%	15 30%	94 31%	142 35%	97 37%	147 34%	27 26%	119 36%	32 30%	86 31%	49 34%
Lean against	27 3%	5 5%	4 2%	9 3%	6 2%	20 3%	1 1%	2 5%	1 2%	8 3%	12 3%	7 3%	16 4%	0 0%	9 3%	2 2%	12 4%	2 1%
Vote against	302 30%	30 27%	51 28%	92 30%	109 33%	232 32%G	28 22%	8 20%	14 28%	86 28%	130 32%	90 34%	131 30%	27 26%	110 33%	30 28%	74 27%	47 32%
(UNDECIDED)	37 4%	4 4%	4 2%	12 4%	13 4%	24 3%	5 4%	0 0%	4 8%	12 4%	10 2%	6 2%	11 3%	6 6%	14 4%	5 5%	6 2%	6 4%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	29 3%	3 3%	5 3%	8 3%	6 2%	21 3%	4 3%	1 3%	1 2%	5 2%	7 2%	4 2%	13 3%	5 5%P	8 2%	0 0%	8 3%	4 3%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H/I - J/K/L - M/N/O/P - Q/R  
 \* small base

SIMON POLL  
 SPRING 2016

Table 117  
 33. Some states have passed right-to-work or open shop laws that say workers have the right to hold their jobs in a unionized workplace, whether they join the labor union or not.

	TOTAL	MILITARY HOUSEHOLD		BELONGS TO LABOR UNION	
		YES	NO	YES	NO
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Base	1000	621	379	213	772
NET: For	605 61%	366 59%	239 63%	109 51%	488 63%D
Vote for	569 57%	352 57%	217 57%	100 47%	461 60%D
Lean for	36 4%	14 2%	22 6%B	9 4%	27 3%
NET: Against	329 33%	220 35%C	109 29%	94 44%E	231 30%
Lean against	27 3%	18 3%	9 2%	6 3%	21 3%
Vote against	302 30%	202 33%C	100 26%	88 41%E	210 27%
(UNDECIDED)	37 4%	21 3%	16 4%	6 3%	30 4%
(OTHER/DON'T KNOW)	29 3%	14 2%	15 4%	4 2%	23 3%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E